

Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)
Percentage distribution of the number of cases
Kaposi sarcoma (C46) - Male

	C46.0		C46.1		C46.2		C46.3		C46.7		C46.8		C46.9	
	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)
Africa														
*Algeria, Sétif	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Algeria, Batna	0.2	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Kenya, Nairobi	2.4	(78.9)	0.3	(11.8)	0.0	(2.6)	-	-	0.1	(5.9)	-	-	0.1	(0.7)
Seychelles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*South Africa, Eastern Cape	5.8	(95.5)	-	-	0.1	(1.1)	-	-	0.1	(2.3)	-	-	0.1	(1.1)
*Uganda, Kyadondo County	21.9	(91.0)	0.0	(0.3)	0.7	(3.3)	0.5	(1.9)	0.9	(3.5)	-	-	-	-
*Zimbabwe, Harare: African	19.0	(85.7)	-	-	0.4	(2.3)	0.5	(3.1)	1.9	(8.6)	-	-	0.1	(0.3)
Central and South America														
Argentina, Chaco	0.5	(70.6)	-	-	0.0	(5.9)	0.0	(5.9)	0.1	(17.6)	-	-	-	-
Argentina, Entre Ríos Province	0.1	(50.0)	-	-	0.1	(50.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Argentina, Córdoba	1.7	(78.7)	0.1	(6.7)	-	-	0.1	(5.3)	0.2	(9.3)	-	-	-	-
Argentina, Mendoza	0.4	(58.1)	0.2	(29.0)	0.0	(6.5)	-	-	0.1	(6.5)	-	-	-	-
Argentina, Tierra del Fuego	0.5	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Brazil, Aracaju	0.8	(83.3)	-	-	0.1	(8.3)	-	-	0.1	(8.3)	-	-	-	-
Brazil, Curitiba	0.3	(66.7)	0.1	(11.1)	-	-	-	-	0.1	(22.2)	-	-	-	-
Brazil, Florianópolis	1.3	(83.3)	-	-	0.3	(16.7)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Brazil, Goiânia	0.9	(81.1)	0.2	(16.2)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(2.7)	-	-	-	-
Brazil, Jaú	0.4	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Brazil, Poços de Caldas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Chile, Region of Antofagasta	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Chile, Bío Bío Province	0.3	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Chile, Concepción	0.1	(28.6)	0.2	(57.1)	-	-	-	-	0.1	(14.3)	-	-	-	-
*Chile, Valdivia	0.2	(66.7)	0.1	(33.3)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Colombia, Cali	1.2	(76.1)	0.1	(4.3)	0.1	(8.7)	0.0	(1.1)	0.1	(8.7)	-	-	0.0	(1.1)
Colombia, Bucaramanga	0.7	(84.0)	0.1	(4.0)	-	-	0.1	(4.0)	-	-	-	-	0.1	(8.0)
*Colombia, Manizales	0.2	(50.0)	0.1	(25.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.1	(25.0)
Colombia, Pasto	0.1	(12.5)	0.7	(87.5)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Costa Rica	0.3	(84.2)	0.0	(7.9)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(7.9)	-	-	-	-
Ecuador, Cuenca	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ecuador, Guayaquil	0.6	(58.9)	0.3	(30.4)	0.1	(5.4)	0.0	(3.6)	0.0	(1.8)	-	-	-	-
*Ecuador, Loja	-	-	0.6	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Ecuador, Manabí	-	-	0.1	(75.0)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(25.0)	-	-	-	-
Ecuador, Quito	0.5	(48.6)	0.4	(45.9)	-	-	0.0	(2.7)	0.0	(2.7)	-	-	-	-
*France, Martinique	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*French Guiana	0.2	(25.0)	0.2	(25.0)	-	-	-	-	0.2	(25.0)	-	-	0.2	(25.0)
*Jamaica, Kingston and St Andrew	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Peru, Lima	1.3	(85.6)	0.1	(5.1)	0.0	(1.9)	-	-	0.1	(7.0)	-	-	0.0	(0.5)
USA, Puerto Rico	0.8	(86.2)	0.1	(5.5)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(3.7)	-	-	0.0	(4.6)
Uruguay	0.5	(72.1)	-	-	0.1	(6.6)	0.0	(1.6)	0.1	(19.7)	-	-	-	-
North America														
*Canada, Alberta	0.2	(78.1)	-	(3.1)	0.0	(9.4)	0.0	(3.1)	0.0	(6.2)	-	-	-	-
Canada, British Columbia	0.3	(87.5)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(1.8)	0.0	(10.7)	-	-	-	-
Canada, Manitoba	0.2	(72.7)	0.1	(18.2)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(9.1)	-	-	-	-
*Canada, New Brunswick	0.1	(75.0)	-	-	-	-	0.1	(25.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Canada, Newfoundland and Labrador	0.2	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Canada, Northwest Territories	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Canada, Nova Scotia	0.2	(87.5)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(12.5)
*Canada, Nunavut	5.3	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Canada, Ontario	0.0	(11.1)	0.0	(35.6)	-	(2.2)	0.0	(6.7)	0.0	(35.6)	-	-	0.0	(8.9)
*Canada, Prince Edward Island	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Canada, Saskatchewan	0.1	(60.0)	0.0	(40.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Canada, Yukon	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA	0.4	(81.1)	0.0	(7.6)	0.0	(1.7)	0.0	(0.8)	0.1	(7.8)	-	-	0.0	(1.0)
USA: White	0.3	(82.5)	0.0	(7.6)	0.0	(1.6)	-	(0.8)	0.0	(6.7)	-	-	-	(0.8)
USA: Black	1.1	(77.1)	0.1	(7.8)	0.0	(1.8)	0.0	(1.0)	0.2	(10.7)	-	-	0.0	(1.6)
USA, NPCR	0.5	(81.0)	0.0	(7.6)	0.0	(1.7)	0.0	(0.8)	0.1	(7.9)	-	-	0.0	(1.0)
USA, NPCR: White	0.3	(82.3)	0.0	(7.7)	0.0	(1.6)	-	(0.8)	0.0	(6.8)	-	-	-	(0.8)
USA, NPCR: Black	1.1	(77.0)	0.1	(7.8)	0.0	(1.9)	0.0	(1.0)	0.2	(10.7)	-	-	0.0	(1.6)
USA, NPCR: Asian and Pacific Islander	0.2	(83.7)	0.0	(5.8)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(9.6)	-	-	-	(1.0)
USA, NPCR: American Indian/Alaska Natives	0.2	(75.0)	0.0	(10.7)	0.0	(3.6)	0.0	(3.6)	0.0	(7.1)	-	-	-	-
USA, SEER (18 registries)	0.7	(84.8)	0.0	(4.3)	0.0	(1.6)	0.0	(0.7)	0.1	(8.1)	-	-	-	(0.5)
*USA, SEER (18 registries): White	0.6	(87.0)	0.0	(4.9)	0.0	(1.4)	-	(0.7)	0.0	(5.8)	-	-	-	(0.3)
*USA, SEER (18 registries): Non-Hispanic White	0.5	(86.9)	0.0	(5.2)	0.0	(1.5)	-	(0.4)	0.0	(5.6)	-	-	-	(0.3)
*USA, SEER (18 registries): Hispanic White	0.8	(87.1)	0.0	(4.0)	0.0	(1.2)	0.0	(1.2)	0.1	(6.2)	-	-	-	(0.2)
*USA, SEER (18 registries): Black	1.5	(78.6)	0.1	(3.4)	0.0	(2.2)	0.0	(1.1)	0.3	(13.8)	-	-	0.0	(0.9)
*USA, SEER (18 registries): Asian and Pacific Islander	0.2	(82.1)	0.0	(5.1)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(11.5)	-	-	-	(1.3)
USA, SEER (9 registries)	0.8	(84.6)	0.0	(3.2)	0.0	(2.1)	0.0	(0.7)	0.1	(8.8)	-	-	0.0	(0.6)
*USA, SEER (9 registries): White	0.6	(88.7)	0.0	(3.0)	0.0	(1.8)	0.0	(0.8)	0.0	(5.7)	-	-	-	-
*USA, SEER (9 registries): Black	2.4	(78.4)	0.1	(2.7)	0.1	(2.3)	0.0	(0.7)	0.4	(14.3)	-	-	0.1	(1.7)
USA, Alabama	0.2	(69.0)	0.0	(14.3)	0.0	(11.9)	-	-	0.0	(4.8)	-	-	-	-
USA, Alabama: White	0.1	(63.6)	0.0	(18.2)	0.0	(9.1)	-	-	0.0	(9.1)	-	-	-	-
USA, Alabama: Black	0.5	(75.0)	0.1	(10.0)	0.1	(15.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Alaska	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Alaska: Alaska Natives	0.6	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Arizona	0.2	(61.2)	0.1	(16.4)	0.0	(7.5)	-	-	0.0	(10.4)	-	-	0.0	(4.5)
USA, Arizona: White	0.2	(63.5)	0.0	(11.5)	0.0	(7.7)	-	-	0.0	(11.5)	-	-	0.0	(5.8)
USA, Arizona: Black	0.3	(42.9)	0.3	(42.9)	-	-	-	-	0.1	(14.3)	-	-	-	-
USA, Arizona: American Indian	0.4	(57.1)	0.2	(28.6)	0.1	(14.3)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Arkansas	0.2	(85.0)	0.0	(5.0)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(10.0)	-	-	-	-
USA, Arkansas: White	0.1	(84.6)	0.0	(7.7)	-	-	-	-	-	(7.7)	-	-	-	-
USA, Arkansas: Black	0.4	(83.3)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.1	(16.7)	-	-	-	-

Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics.

*Important. See note on population page.

**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)
Percentage distribution of the number of cases
Kaposi sarcoma (C46) - Male (contd)**

	C46.0		C46.1		C46.2		C46.3		C46.7		C46.8		C46.9	
	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)
North America (contd)														
USA, New York State	0.9	(83.0)	0.1	(7.4)	0.0	(1.5)	-	(0.4)	0.1	(7.4)	-	-	-	(0.3)
USA, New York State: White	0.6	(82.8)	0.1	(8.8)	0.0	(1.2)	-	(0.5)	0.1	(6.1)	-	-	-	(0.5)
USA, New York State: Black	2.0	(82.1)	0.1	(5.4)	0.1	(1.8)	0.0	(0.4)	0.2	(10.3)	-	-	-	-
USA, North Carolina	0.3	(73.4)	0.1	(17.0)	-	-	0.0	(2.1)	0.0	(6.4)	-	-	0.0	(1.1)
USA, North Carolina: White	0.2	(77.5)	0.0	(15.0)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(7.5)	-	-	-	-
USA, North Carolina: Black	0.6	(68.6)	0.2	(19.6)	-	-	0.0	(3.9)	0.1	(5.9)	-	-	0.0	(2.0)
USA, North Dakota	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Ohio	0.2	(72.7)	0.0	(17.2)	-	(1.0)	0.0	(2.0)	0.0	(7.1)	-	-	-	-
USA, Ohio: White	0.2	(72.6)	0.0	(17.8)	-	-	-	(1.4)	0.0	(8.2)	-	-	-	-
USA, Ohio: Black	0.4	(68.2)	0.1	(18.2)	0.0	(4.5)	0.0	(4.5)	0.0	(4.5)	-	-	-	-
USA, Oklahoma	0.2	(96.0)	0.0	(4.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Oklahoma: White	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Oklahoma: Black	0.7	(85.7)	0.1	(14.3)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Oregon	0.2	(61.3)	0.0	(6.5)	0.0	(3.2)	0.0	(6.5)	0.1	(19.4)	-	-	0.0	(3.2)
USA, Oregon: White	0.1	(61.5)	0.0	(7.7)	0.0	(3.8)	0.0	(7.7)	0.1	(19.2)	-	-	-	-
USA, Oregon: Black	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.3	(50.0)	-	-	0.3	(50.0)
USA, Pennsylvania	0.4	(90.2)	0.0	(3.3)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(4.4)	-	-	0.0	(2.2)
USA, Pennsylvania: White	0.2	(90.1)	-	(2.0)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(5.9)	-	-	-	(2.0)
USA, Pennsylvania: Black	1.6	(89.7)	0.1	(4.4)	-	-	-	-	0.1	(2.9)	-	-	0.1	(2.9)
USA, Rhode Island	0.5	(73.9)	0.1	(21.7)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(4.3)	-	-	-	-
USA, Rhode Island: White	0.5	(71.4)	0.1	(23.8)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(4.8)	-	-	-	-
USA, Rhode Island: Black	1.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, South Carolina	0.2	(64.1)	0.1	(17.9)	0.0	(2.6)	-	-	0.0	(12.8)	-	-	0.0	(2.6)
USA, South Carolina: White	0.1	(64.7)	0.0	(17.6)	0.0	(5.9)	-	-	0.0	(5.9)	-	-	0.0	(5.9)
USA, South Carolina: Black	0.4	(63.6)	0.1	(18.2)	-	-	-	-	0.1	(18.2)	-	-	-	-
USA, South Dakota	0.1	(75.0)	0.0	(25.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Tennessee	0.2	(66.7)	0.1	(17.5)	0.0	(3.5)	0.0	(1.8)	0.0	(5.3)	-	-	0.0	(5.3)
USA, Tennessee: White	0.1	(63.0)	0.0	(14.8)	0.0	(3.7)	-	-	0.0	(7.4)	-	-	0.0	(11.1)
USA, Tennessee: Black	0.6	(64.0)	0.2	(24.0)	0.0	(4.0)	0.0	(4.0)	0.0	(4.0)	-	-	-	-
USA, Texas	0.5	(77.9)	0.1	(11.3)	0.0	(1.4)	-	(0.5)	0.1	(9.0)	-	-	-	-
USA, Texas: White	0.4	(78.3)	0.1	(10.2)	0.0	(1.5)	-	(0.6)	0.1	(9.3)	-	-	-	-
USA, Texas: Black	1.0	(76.3)	0.2	(14.9)	0.0	(0.9)	-	-	0.1	(7.9)	-	-	-	-
USA, Utah	0.2	(93.8)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(6.2)	-	-	-	-
USA, Vermont	0.1	(75.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.1	(25.0)	-	-	-	-
USA, Virginia	0.3	(81.3)	0.0	(8.0)	0.0	(2.7)	0.0	(1.3)	0.0	(6.7)	-	-	-	-
USA, Virginia: White	0.2	(79.5)	0.0	(12.8)	-	(2.6)	-	-	0.0	(5.1)	-	-	-	-
USA, Virginia: Black	0.6	(80.0)	0.0	(3.3)	0.0	(3.3)	0.0	(3.3)	0.1	(10.0)	-	-	-	-
USA, Washington State	0.5	(91.5)	0.0	(1.7)	0.0	(1.7)	0.0	(0.8)	0.0	(4.2)	-	-	-	-
USA, Washington, Seattle	0.7	(94.4)	0.0	(1.9)	0.0	(0.9)	-	-	0.0	(2.8)	-	-	-	-
USA, West Virginia	0.1	(72.7)	-	-	0.0	(9.1)	0.0	(9.1)	0.0	(9.1)	-	-	-	-
USA, Wisconsin	0.1	(75.8)	0.0	(18.2)	0.0	(3.0)	-	-	0.0	(3.0)	-	-	-	-
USA, Wisconsin: White	0.1	(87.5)	0.0	(8.3)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(4.2)	-	-	-	-
USA, Wisconsin: Black	0.5	(66.7)	0.1	(16.7)	0.1	(16.7)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Wyoming	0.1	(40.0)	0.1	(20.0)	-	-	-	-	0.1	(40.0)	-	-	-	-
Asia														
Bahrain: Bahraini	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Brunei Darussalam	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Anshan City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-
China, Beijing	-	-	-	(50.0)	-	-	-	-	-	(50.0)	-	-	-	-
China, Benxi	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Cixian County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Guangzhou	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Guanyun	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Haimen County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Hangzhou City	-	-	-	(33.3)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(66.7)
China, Harbin City, Nangang District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Hefei	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Hengdong	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Hong Kong	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.1	(100.0)
*China, Huaiyin District, Huai'an	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Jiangmen	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.1	(100.0)
China, Jianhu County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Jiashan County	-	-	0.2	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Jiaxing City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Lianyungang	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Linzhou County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Liuzhou	0.1	(50.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(50.0)
China, Maanshan	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Qidong County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Shanghai City	-	-	-	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Shenyang	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*China, Shexian County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Sheyang	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.1	(100.0)
China, Tongling City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Wuhan City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Wuxi	0.0	(50.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(50.0)	-	-	-	-
China, Xianju	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Xiping	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Yanshi	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Yanting County	0.2	(60.0)	0.2	(20.0)	-	-	-	-	0.1	(20.0)	-	-	-	-
China, Yueyanglou	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Zhongshan City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Zhuhai	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics.

*Important. See note on population page.

**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)
Percentage distribution of the number of cases
Kaposi sarcoma (C46) - Male (contd)**

	C46.0		C46.1		C46.2		C46.3		C46.7		C46.8		C46.9	
	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)
Asia (contd)														
*India, Ahmedabad	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*India, Bangalore	-	-	0.0	(60.0)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(40.0)	-	-	-	-
*India; Barshi, Paranda, and Bhum	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*India, Bhopal	-	-	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*India, Cachar	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*India, Chennai	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*India, Dindigul, Ambalikkai	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*India, Kamrup Urban District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*India, Kollam	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*India, Mizoram	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*India, Mumbai	-	(33.3)	-	(33.3)	-	-	-	-	-	(33.3)	-	-	-	-
*India, Poona	-	-	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*India, Sikkim State	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*India, Tripura	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*India, Trivandrum	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*India, Wardha	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Iran (Islamic Republic of), Golestan Province	0.5	(81.2)	0.0	(6.2)	-	-	-	-	0.1	(12.5)	-	-	-	-
Israel	1.4	(94.9)	0.0	(1.9)	0.0	(0.5)	0.0	(0.3)	0.0	(2.4)	-	-	-	-
*Israel: Jews	1.5	(94.9)	0.0	(2.0)	-	(0.3)	0.0	(0.3)	0.1	(2.6)	-	-	-	-
*Israel: Non-Jews, Arab	0.6	(93.8)	-	-	0.0	(6.2)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Israel: Non-Jews, Other	0.9	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Japan, Aichi Prefecture	0.0	(42.9)	0.0	(14.3)	-	(7.1)	0.0	(14.3)	0.0	(21.4)	-	-	-	-
Japan, Fukui Prefecture	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Japan, Hiroshima Prefecture	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-
Japan, Miyagi Prefecture	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Japan, Nagasaki Prefecture	-	-	-	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Japan, Niigata Prefecture	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Japan, Osaka Prefecture	0.1	(70.0)	-	(5.0)	-	(5.0)	-	-	0.0	(20.0)	-	-	-	-
Japan, Tochigi Prefecture	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Japan, Yamagata Prefecture	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Jordan: Jordanians	0.3	(88.0)	0.0	(12.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Republic of Korea	0.1	(77.8)	0.0	(13.3)	-	(1.0)	-	(1.0)	0.0	(6.9)	-	-	-	-
Republic of Korea, Busan	0.1	(50.0)	0.1	(50.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Republic of Korea, Daegu	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Republic of Korea, Daejeon	0.2	(71.4)	0.0	(14.3)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(14.3)	-	-	-	-
Republic of Korea, Gwangju	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Republic of Korea, Incheon	0.2	(93.8)	0.0	(6.2)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Republic of Korea, Jeju-do	0.0	(33.3)	0.1	(33.3)	0.1	(33.3)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Republic of Korea, Seoul	0.2	(79.3)	0.0	(10.3)	-	(1.7)	0.0	(3.4)	0.0	(5.2)	-	-	-	-
Republic of Korea, Ulsan	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kuwait	0.1	(71.4)	0.1	(28.6)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kuwait: Kuwaitis	0.3	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kuwait: Non-Kuwaitis	0.0	(33.3)	0.2	(66.7)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Malaysia, Penang	0.0	(50.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.1	(50.0)	-	-	-	-
*Malaysia, Penang: Chinese	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Malaysia, Penang: Malay	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.2	(100.0)	-	-	-	-
*Malaysia, Penang: Indian	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Philippines, Manila	-	-	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Philippines, Rizal	-	(25.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(75.0)	-	-	-	-
Qatar: Qatari	0.9	(75.0)	0.3	(25.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Saudi Arabia, Riyadh: Saudi	0.3	(89.3)	0.0	(7.1)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(3.6)	-	-	-	-
Thailand, Bangkok	0.0	(25.0)	0.1	(75.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Thailand, Chiang Mai	0.1	(66.7)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.1	(33.3)	-	-	-	-
Thailand, Chonburi	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Thailand, Khon Kaen	-	-	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Thailand, Lampang	-	-	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Thailand, Lopburi Province	0.1	(66.7)	-	-	-	-	0.1	(33.3)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Thailand, Songkhla	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Turkey, Antalya	0.8	(90.2)	0.1	(7.3)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(2.4)	-	-	-	-
Turkey, Bursa	0.5	(97.0)	0.0	(3.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Turkey, Edirne	0.4	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Turkey, Erzurum	0.8	(88.9)	0.1	(11.1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Turkey, Eskisehir	0.7	(92.9)	0.0	(7.1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Turkey, Izmir	0.5	(86.6)	0.1	(11.9)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(1.5)	-	-	-	-
Turkey, Samsun	0.6	(80.8)	0.1	(15.4)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(3.8)	-	-	-	-
Turkey, Trabzon	1.2	(90.3)	0.1	(6.5)	-	-	-	-	0.1	(3.2)	-	-	-	-
*Viet Nam, Ho Chi Minh City	-	-	0.0	(25.0)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(75.0)	-	-	-	-
Europe														
Austria	0.0	(52.6)	0.0	(15.8)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(10.5)	-	-	0.0	(21.1)
Austria, Carinthia	0.1	(33.3)	0.1	(33.3)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.1	(33.3)
Austria, Tyrol	0.0	(50.0)	0.0	(50.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Austria, Vorarlberg	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Belarus	0.2	(78.8)	0.0	(10.6)	-	-	-	(1.5)	0.0	(6.1)	-	-	0.0	(3.0)
*Belgium	0.4	(83.0)	0.0	(2.8)	0.0	(2.8)	0.0	(4.0)	0.0	(7.4)	-	-	-	-
Bulgaria	0.1	(65.2)	-	(2.2)	-	-	-	(2.2)	0.0	(6.5)	-	-	0.0	(23.9)
Croatia	0.0	(27.3)	0.1	(68.2)	0.0	(4.5)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cyprus	0.3	(76.9)	0.0	(15.4)	-	-	0.1	(7.7)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Czech Republic	0.1	(80.5)	0.0	(9.8)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(7.3)	-	-	-	(2.4)
Denmark	0.1	(91.3)	-	-	0.0	(4.3)	-	-	0.0	(4.3)	-	-	-	-
Estonia	0.1	(54.5)	0.1	(36.4)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(9.1)

Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics.

*Important. See note on population page.

**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)
Percentage distribution of the number of cases
Kaposi sarcoma (C46) - Male (contd)**

	C46.0		C46.1		C46.2		C46.3		C46.7		C46.8		C46.9	
	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)
Europe (contd)														
*France, Bas-Rhin	0.4	(91.7)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(8.3)	-	-	-	-
*France, Calvados	0.2	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*France, Doubs	0.4	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*France, Gironde	0.4	(67.7)	0.2	(29.0)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(3.2)	-	-	-	-
*France, Haut-Rhin	0.4	(77.8)	0.1	(16.7)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(5.6)
*France, Hérault	0.6	(87.5)	0.0	(4.2)	-	-	-	-	0.1	(8.3)	-	-	-	-
*France, Isère	0.3	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*France, Lille-Métropole	0.6	(87.5)	-	-	-	-	0.1	(6.2)	0.0	(6.2)	-	-	-	-
*France, Limousin	-	-	0.5	(71.4)	-	-	-	-	0.2	(28.6)	-	-	-	-
*France, Loire-Atlantique	0.3	(87.5)	0.0	(6.2)	-	-	0.0	(6.2)	-	-	-	-	-	-
*France, Manche	0.1	(25.0)	0.1	(75.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*France, Somme	0.3	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*France, Tarn	0.1	(80.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(20.0)	-	-	-	-
*France, Territoire de Belfort	0.2	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*France, Vendée	0.0	(50.0)	0.0	(50.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Germany, Bavaria	0.1	(38.1)	0.1	(46.0)	-	-	-	(1.6)	0.0	(4.8)	-	-	0.0	(9.5)
Germany, Bremen	0.3	(64.3)	0.1	(21.4)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(7.1)	-	-	0.1	(7.1)
Germany, Hamburg	0.1	(40.7)	0.0	(7.4)	0.0	(3.7)	-	-	0.0	(3.7)	-	-	0.2	(44.4)
Germany, Lower Saxony	0.1	(76.1)	0.0	(8.7)	0.0	(4.3)	-	(2.2)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(8.7)
Germany, Munich	0.0	(15.0)	0.1	(75.0)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(10.0)	-	-	-	-
*Germany, North Rhine-Westphalia	0.2	(86.8)	-	(0.5)	-	(1.1)	-	(0.5)	0.0	(7.4)	-	-	0.0	(3.7)
Germany, Rhineland-Palatinate	0.1	(73.1)	0.0	(11.5)	-	-	0.0	(11.5)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(3.8)
Germany, Saarland	-	-	0.1	(83.3)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(16.7)	-	-	-	-
*Germany, Schleswig-Holstein	0.0	(50.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(50.0)
Iceland	0.7	(91.7)	-	-	0.1	(8.3)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ireland	0.2	(65.8)	0.1	(18.4)	-	-	0.0	(2.6)	0.0	(13.2)	-	-	-	-
*Italy, Aosta Valley	0.5	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Italy, Barletta	1.1	(85.7)	0.2	(9.5)	-	-	0.1	(4.8)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Italy, Bergamo	1.1	(96.5)	0.0	(3.5)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Italy, Biella	0.7	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Italy, Caserta	1.1	(85.7)	0.0	(3.6)	-	-	-	-	0.1	(7.1)	-	-	0.1	(3.6)
Italy, Catania, Messina, and Enna	0.9	(88.8)	0.1	(10.2)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(1.0)	-	-	-	-
Italy, Como	1.3	(92.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.1	(8.0)	-	-	-	-
Italy, Cremona	2.2	(89.7)	-	-	0.3	(6.9)	-	-	0.1	(3.4)	-	-	-	-
Italy, Ferrara	0.7	(86.7)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.1	(13.3)	-	-	-	-
Italy, Florence and Prato	0.9	(67.4)	0.3	(23.3)	0.0	(2.3)	-	-	0.1	(7.0)	-	-	-	-
Italy, Friuli-Venezia Giulia	0.5	(86.4)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.1	(13.6)	-	-	-	-
Italy, Latina	0.3	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Italy, Lecco	0.9	(88.9)	-	-	-	-	0.2	(11.1)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Italy, Lombardy, South, Pavia	1.4	(85.7)	0.1	(7.1)	-	-	-	-	0.2	(7.1)	-	-	-	-
Italy, Mantua	1.4	(87.5)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.2	(12.5)	-	-	-	-
Italy, Milan	1.1	(88.8)	0.1	(5.3)	0.0	(2.0)	-	-	0.1	(3.9)	-	-	-	-
Italy, Modena	0.8	(91.4)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.2	(8.6)
Italy, Monza	0.7	(84.2)	0.1	(5.3)	0.0	(2.6)	-	-	0.1	(7.9)	-	-	-	-
Italy, Naples	1.5	(85.7)	0.1	(5.7)	-	-	0.1	(2.9)	0.1	(5.7)	-	-	-	-
Italy, Nuoro	0.7	(91.7)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(8.3)	-	-	-	-
Italy, Palermo	0.7	(78.0)	0.2	(18.0)	-	-	0.0	(2.0)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(2.0)
Italy, Parma	0.8	(94.4)	-	-	-	-	0.1	(5.6)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Italy, Piacenza	0.4	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Italy, Ragusa and Caltanissetta	0.6	(92.0)	0.1	(4.0)	-	-	-	-	0.1	(4.0)	-	-	-	-
Italy, Reggio Emilia	1.0	(85.7)	0.1	(5.7)	-	-	0.0	(2.9)	0.0	(2.9)	-	-	0.1	(2.9)
Italy, Romagna	1.5	(96.3)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(1.2)	-	-	0.1	(2.4)
Italy, Sassari	0.9	(73.1)	0.3	(26.9)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Italy, Sondrio	0.3	(80.0)	0.1	(20.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Italy, South Tyrol	0.5	(85.7)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.1	(14.3)
Italy, Syracuse	0.3	(35.7)	0.5	(64.3)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Italy, Taranto	1.8	(83.7)	0.1	(6.1)	-	-	0.1	(2.0)	0.1	(4.1)	-	-	0.1	(4.1)
Italy, Trento	0.3	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Italy, Turin	0.7	(78.2)	0.1	(10.9)	-	-	0.0	(1.7)	0.1	(9.2)	-	-	-	-
Italy, Umbria	0.5	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Italy, Varese	0.9	(92.1)	-	-	0.0	(2.6)	-	-	0.1	(5.3)	-	-	-	-
Italy, Veneto	0.6	(91.5)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(2.1)	0.0	(2.1)	-	-	0.1	(4.3)
Latvia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.1	(75.0)	-	-	0.0	(25.0)
Lithuania	0.1	(70.8)	0.0	(20.8)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(8.3)	-	-	-	-
Malta	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*The Netherlands	-	(0.4)	0.4	(93.8)	0.0	(1.2)	-	-	0.0	(4.6)	-	-	-	-
Norway	0.1	(74.2)	-	-	0.0	(3.2)	0.0	(3.2)	0.0	(16.1)	-	-	0.0	(3.2)
Poland, Greater Poland	0.0	(50.0)	0.0	(25.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(25.0)
Poland, Kielce	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Poland, Lower Silesia	0.0	(33.3)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(66.7)	-	-	-	-
*Poland, Lublin	0.0	(50.0)	0.0	(25.0)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(25.0)	-	-	-	-
Poland, Podkarpackie	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Portugal, Azores	0.4	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Russian Federation, Arkhangelsk	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Russian Federation, Chelyabinsk	0.2	(77.8)	0.0	(7.4)	0.0	(3.7)	-	-	0.0	(11.1)	-	-	-	-
*Russian Federation, Karelia	-	-	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Russian Federation, Samara	0.3	(96.9)	-	-	0.0	(3.1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Slovakia	0.1	(81.8)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(18.2)	-	-	-	-
Slovenia	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics.

*Important. See note on population page.

Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)
Percentage distribution of the number of cases
Kaposi sarcoma (C46) - Male (contd)

	C46.0		C46.1		C46.2		C46.3		C46.7		C46.8		C46.9	
	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)
Europe (contd)														
Spain, Albacete	0.7	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Spain, Asturias	0.5	(68.4)	-	-	-	-	0.1	(10.5)	0.2	(21.1)	-	-	-	-
Spain, Basque Country	0.0	(1.6)	0.6	(88.5)	0.0	(1.6)	0.0	(3.3)	0.0	(4.9)	-	-	-	-
Spain, Canary Islands	0.6	(83.3)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(2.8)	0.1	(13.9)	-	-	-	-
Spain, Castellón	0.3	(66.7)	0.0	(11.1)	-	-	0.1	(11.1)	0.0	(11.1)	-	-	-	-
Spain, Ciudad Real	1.2	(96.2)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(3.8)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Spain, Cuenca	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Spain, Girona	0.4	(84.6)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.1	(15.4)	-	-	-	-
Spain, Granada	0.7	(95.8)	-	-	0.0	(4.2)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Spain, La Rioja	0.4	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Spain, Mallorca	0.7	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Spain, Murcia	0.4	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Spain, Navarra	0.3	(71.4)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.1	(14.3)	-	-	0.1	(14.3)
Spain, Tarragona	0.4	(86.7)	0.0	(6.7)	0.1	(6.7)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Switzerland, Fribourg	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-
Switzerland, Geneva	1.5	(88.5)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.2	(11.5)	-	-	-	-
Switzerland, Graubünden and Glarus	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Switzerland, Neuchâtel	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.3	(100.0)
Switzerland, St Gall–Appenzell	0.2	(80.0)	0.1	(20.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Switzerland, Ticino	0.3	(36.4)	0.3	(45.5)	-	-	0.1	(9.1)	0.1	(9.1)	-	-	-	-
Switzerland, Valais	0.2	(80.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.1	(20.0)	-	-	-	-
Switzerland, Vaud	0.4	(83.3)	0.0	(8.3)	-	-	-	-	0.1	(8.3)	-	-	-	-
Switzerland, Zurich	0.7	(90.0)	0.0	(2.5)	0.0	(5.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(2.5)
Ukraine	0.1	(62.0)	0.0	(28.7)	-	(0.6)	-	(1.2)	0.0	(7.0)	-	-	-	(0.6)
UK	0.2	(66.7)	0.1	(15.7)	0.0	(3.4)	0.0	(3.7)	0.0	(7.7)	-	-	0.0	(2.9)
UK, England	0.2	(66.4)	0.1	(16.5)	0.0	(3.3)	0.0	(3.8)	0.0	(7.3)	-	-	0.0	(2.6)
UK, England, East	0.1	(57.1)	0.1	(22.9)	0.0	(5.7)	0.0	(8.6)	0.0	(5.7)	-	-	-	-
UK, England, East Midlands	0.2	(65.6)	0.0	(15.6)	-	-	0.0	(9.4)	0.0	(6.2)	-	-	0.0	(3.1)
UK, England, London	0.8	(74.2)	0.2	(15.4)	0.0	(2.6)	0.0	(1.5)	0.1	(6.4)	-	-	-	-
UK, England, North East	0.1	(63.6)	0.0	(18.2)	0.0	(9.1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(9.1)
UK, England, North West	0.2	(53.8)	0.1	(24.6)	-	(1.5)	0.0	(9.2)	0.0	(7.7)	-	-	0.0	(3.1)
UK, England, South West	0.1	(58.1)	0.0	(19.4)	0.0	(6.5)	0.0	(3.2)	0.0	(6.5)	-	-	0.0	(6.5)
UK, England, South East	0.2	(60.5)	0.0	(18.4)	0.0	(3.9)	0.0	(2.6)	0.0	(11.8)	-	-	0.0	(2.6)
UK, England, West Midlands	0.1	(66.7)	-	-	0.0	(3.0)	0.0	(9.1)	0.0	(9.1)	-	-	0.0	(12.1)
UK, England, Yorkshire and The Humber	0.1	(60.0)	0.0	(12.0)	0.0	(8.0)	-	-	0.0	(8.0)	-	-	0.0	(12.0)
UK, Northern Ireland	0.1	(80.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(20.0)	-	-	-	-
UK, Scotland	0.1	(70.8)	0.0	(12.5)	0.0	(8.3)	-	-	0.0	(8.3)	-	-	-	-
UK, Wales	0.1	(65.0)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(5.0)	0.0	(15.0)	-	-	0.0	(15.0)
Oceania														
Australia	0.2	(55.8)	0.1	(37.5)	0.0	(1.6)	-	(0.8)	0.0	(4.0)	-	-	-	(0.4)
Australia, Australian Capital Territory	0.3	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Australia, New South Wales	0.3	(84.6)	0.0	(5.8)	0.0	(3.8)	0.0	(1.0)	0.0	(4.8)	-	-	-	-
Australia, Northern Territory	-	-	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Australia, Northern Territory: Non-Indigenous	-	-	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Australia, Northern Territory: Indigenous	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Australia, Queensland	0.2	(91.7)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(2.8)	0.0	(5.6)	-	-	-	-
Australia, South Australia	0.1	(75.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(12.5)	-	-	0.0	(12.5)
Australia, Tasmania	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Australia, Victoria	-	-	0.4	(97.8)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(2.2)	-	-	-	-
Australia, Western Australia	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*France, New Caledonia	-	-	0.2	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
New Zealand	0.1	(80.0)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(4.0)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(16.0)
*New Zealand: Maori	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*New Zealand: Pacific Islander	0.2	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Hawaii	0.3	(76.5)	0.1	(17.6)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(5.9)	-	-	-	-
*USA, Hawaii: White	0.4	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*USA, Hawaii: Japanese	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*USA, Hawaii: Chinese	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*USA, Hawaii: Hawaiian	0.4	(75.0)	0.1	(25.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*USA, Hawaii: Filipino	0.4	(66.7)	0.1	(16.7)	-	-	-	-	0.2	(16.7)	-	-	-	-
*USA, Pacific Islands	0.2	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics.

*Important. See note on population page.

Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)
Percentage distribution of the number of cases
Kaposi sarcoma (C46) - Female

	C46.0		C46.1		C46.2		C46.3		C46.7		C46.8		C46.9	
	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)
Africa														
*Algeria, Sétif	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Algeria, Batna	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Kenya, Nairobi	1.1	(75.6)	0.1	(7.8)	0.0	(1.1)	0.0	(2.2)	0.2	(11.1)	-	-	0.0	(2.2)
Seychelles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*South Africa, Eastern Cape	3.1	(88.8)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.4	(10.0)	-	-	0.1	(1.2)
*Uganda, Kyadondo County	12.6	(87.9)	0.0	(0.3)	0.6	(4.0)	0.2	(2.4)	0.7	(5.4)	-	-	-	-
*Zimbabwe, Harare: African	9.4	(81.2)	-	-	0.7	(4.5)	0.4	(4.9)	1.2	(9.4)	-	-	-	-
Central and South America														
Argentina, Chaco	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Argentina, Entre Ríos Province	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Argentina, Córdoba	0.1	(85.7)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(14.3)	-	-	-	-
Argentina, Mendoza	0.1	(60.0)	0.0	(40.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Argentina, Tierra del Fuego	-	-	-	-	0.3	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Brazil, Aracaju	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Brazil, Curitiba	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Brazil, Florianópolis	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Brazil, Goiânia	-	-	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Brazil, Jaú	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Brazil, Poços de Caldas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Chile, Region of Antofagasta	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Chile, Bío Bío Province	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Chile, Concepción	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Chile, Valdivia	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Colombia, Cali	0.1	(60.0)	0.0	(10.0)	-	-	0.0	(10.0)	0.0	(20.0)	-	-	-	-
Colombia, Bucaramanga	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Colombia, Manizales	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Colombia, Pasto	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Costa Rica	0.0	(40.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(60.0)	-	-	-	-
Ecuador, Cuenca	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ecuador, Guayaquil	0.1	(50.0)	0.0	(33.3)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(16.7)
*Ecuador, Loja	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Ecuador, Manabí	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ecuador, Quito	0.0	(50.0)	0.0	(50.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*France, Martinique	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*French Guiana	0.2	(50.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.1	(50.0)	-	-	-	-
*Jamaica, Kingston and St Andrew	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Peru, Lima	0.2	(89.5)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(10.5)	-	-	-	-
USA, Puerto Rico	0.1	(80.0)	0.0	(13.3)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(6.7)
Uruguay	0.1	(77.8)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(22.2)	-	-	-	-
North America														
*Canada, Alberta	0.0	(75.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(25.0)	-	-	-	-
Canada, British Columbia	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Canada, Manitoba	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Canada, New Brunswick	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Canada, Newfoundland and Labrador	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Canada, Northwest Territories	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Canada, Nova Scotia	0.0	(33.3)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.1	(66.7)	-	-	-	-
*Canada, Nunavut	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Canada, Ontario	-	(8.3)	0.0	(33.3)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(41.7)	-	-	-	(16.7)
*Canada, Prince Edward Island	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Canada, Saskatchewan	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Canada, Yukon	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA	0.0	(80.6)	-	(12.1)	-	(0.4)	-	(0.4)	-	(5.2)	-	-	-	(1.2)
USA: White	0.0	(83.4)	-	(13.1)	-	-	-	-	-	(2.9)	-	-	-	(0.7)
USA: Black	0.1	(71.5)	0.0	(9.9)	-	(1.7)	-	(1.7)	0.0	(12.2)	-	-	-	(2.9)
USA, NPCR	0.0	(81.2)	-	(11.4)	-	(0.5)	-	(0.5)	-	(5.2)	-	-	-	(1.2)
USA, NPCR: White	0.0	(84.1)	-	(12.4)	-	-	-	-	-	(2.8)	-	-	-	(0.7)
USA, NPCR: Black	0.1	(71.6)	0.0	(9.5)	-	(1.8)	-	(1.8)	0.0	(12.4)	-	-	-	(3.0)
USA, NPCR: Asian and Pacific Islander	0.0	(91.7)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(8.3)	-	-	-	-
USA, NPCR: American Indian/Alaska Natives	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, SEER (18 registries)	0.0	(84.0)	0.0	(10.3)	-	(0.5)	-	-	-	(4.7)	-	-	-	(0.5)
*USA, SEER (18 registries): White	0.0	(85.7)	-	(10.4)	-	-	-	-	-	(3.2)	-	-	-	(0.6)
*USA, SEER (18 registries): Non-Hispanic White	0.0	(85.5)	-	(10.0)	-	-	-	-	-	(3.6)	-	-	-	(0.9)
*USA, SEER (18 registries): Hispanic White	0.1	(86.4)	0.0	(11.4)	-	-	-	-	-	(2.3)	-	-	-	-
*USA, SEER (18 registries): Black	0.1	(78.0)	0.0	(9.8)	-	(2.4)	-	-	0.0	(9.8)	-	-	-	-
*USA, SEER (18 registries): Asian and Pacific Islander	0.0	(83.3)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(16.7)	-	-	-	-
USA, SEER (9 registries)	0.0	(78.1)	0.0	(13.7)	-	(1.4)	-	-	-	(5.5)	-	-	-	(1.4)
*USA, SEER (9 registries): White	0.0	(78.7)	0.0	(14.9)	-	-	-	-	-	(4.3)	-	-	-	(2.1)
*USA, SEER (9 registries): Black	0.1	(73.7)	0.0	(10.5)	0.0	(5.3)	-	-	0.0	(10.5)	-	-	-	-
USA, Alabama	0.0	(50.0)	0.0	(33.3)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(16.7)	-	-	-	-
USA, Alabama: White	-	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Alabama: Black	0.0	(40.0)	0.0	(40.0)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(20.0)	-	-	-	-
USA, Alaska	-	-	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Alaska: Alaska Natives	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Arizona	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Arizona: White	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Arizona: Black	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Arizona: American Indian	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Arkansas	0.0	(71.4)	-	(14.3)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(14.3)	-	-	-	-
USA, Arkansas: White	0.0	(80.0)	-	(20.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Arkansas: Black	0.1	(50.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.1	(50.0)	-	-	-	-

Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics.

*Important. See note on population page.

**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)
Percentage distribution of the number of cases
Kaposi sarcoma (C46) - Female (contd)**

	C46.0		C46.1		C46.2		C46.3		C46.7		C46.8		C46.9	
	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)
North America (contd)														
USA, California	0.0	(92.9)	-	(3.6)	-	-	-	-	-	(3.6)	-	-	-	-
USA, California: White	0.0	(94.2)	-	(4.3)	-	-	-	-	-	(1.4)	-	-	-	-
USA, California: Black	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(83.3)</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(16.7)</i>	-	-	-	-
USA, California: American Indian	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(100.0)</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, California, Los Angeles County	0.1	(95.8)	-	(4.2)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*USA, California, Los Angeles County: White	0.1	(95.7)	-	(4.3)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*USA, California, Los Angeles County: Non-Hispanic White	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*USA, California, Los Angeles County: Hispanic White	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(88.9)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(11.1)</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*USA, California, Los Angeles County: Black	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*USA, California, Los Angeles County: Chinese	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*USA, California, Los Angeles County: Filipino	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*USA, California, Los Angeles County: Korean	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, California, San Francisco Bay Area	0.1	(93.3)	-	-	-	-	-	-	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(6.7)</i>	-	-	-	-
*USA, California, San Francisco Bay Area: White	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*USA, California, San Francisco Bay Area: Non-Hispanic White	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(100.0)</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*USA, California, San Francisco Bay Area: Hispanic White	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(100.0)</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*USA, California, San Francisco Bay Area: Black	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(66.7)</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(33.3)</i>	-	-	-	-
*USA, California, San Francisco Bay Area: Chinese	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*USA, California, San Francisco Bay Area: Filipino	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(100.0)</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*USA, California, San Francisco Bay Area: Japanese	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Colorado	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(100.0)</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Colorado: White	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(100.0)</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Colorado: Black	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Connecticut	0.0	(58.8)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(35.3)</i>	-	-	-	-	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(5.9)</i>	-	-	-	-
*USA, Connecticut: White	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(58.3)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(33.3)</i>	-	-	-	-	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(8.3)</i>	-	-	-	-
*USA, Connecticut: Black	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(66.7)</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(33.3)</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Delaware	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(100.0)</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Delaware: White	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Delaware: Black	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Florida	0.1	(86.4)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(6.8)</i>	-	-	-	-	-	(3.4)	-	-	-	(3.4)
USA, Florida: White	0.0	(90.9)	-	(3.0)	-	-	-	-	-	(6.1)	-	-	-	-
USA, Florida: Black	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(78.3)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(13.0)</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(8.7)</i>
USA, Georgia	0.0	(71.4)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(9.5)</i>	-	(4.8)	-	-	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(9.5)</i>	-	-	-	(4.8)
USA, Georgia: White	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(72.7)</i>	-	(9.1)	-	-	-	-	-	(9.1)	-	-	-	(9.1)
USA, Georgia: Black	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(66.7)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(11.1)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(11.1)</i>	-	-	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(11.1)</i>	-	-	-	-
USA, Georgia, Atlanta	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(66.7)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(8.3)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(8.3)</i>	-	-	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(8.3)</i>	-	-	-	(8.3)
*USA, Georgia, Atlanta: White	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(75.0)</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(25.0)</i>
*USA, Georgia, Atlanta: Black	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(57.1)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(14.3)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(14.3)</i>	-	-	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(14.3)</i>	-	-	-	-
USA, Idaho	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(100.0)</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Illinois	0.0	(76.9)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(15.4)</i>	-	-	-	-	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(7.7)</i>	-	-	-	-
USA, Illinois: White	0.0	(84.2)	-	(15.8)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Illinois: Black	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(50.0)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(16.7)</i>	-	-	-	-	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(33.3)</i>	-	-	-	-
USA, Indiana	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(66.7)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(33.3)</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Indiana: White	-	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Indiana: Black	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(50.0)</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(50.0)</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Iowa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Kentucky	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(71.4)</i>	-	(14.3)	-	-	-	-	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(14.3)</i>	-	-	-	-
USA, Louisiana	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Louisiana: White	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(100.0)</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Louisiana: Black	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(100.0)</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Louisiana, New Orleans	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(100.0)</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*USA, Louisiana, New Orleans: White	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(100.0)</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*USA, Louisiana, New Orleans: Black	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(100.0)</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Maine	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Maryland	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(64.3)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(21.4)</i>	-	-	-	-	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(14.3)</i>	-	-	-	-
USA, Maryland: White	-	(50.0)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(50.0)</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Maryland: Black	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(66.7)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(11.1)</i>	-	-	-	-	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(22.2)</i>	-	-	-	-
USA, Massachusetts	0.1	(82.6)	-	(8.7)	-	-	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(4.3)</i>	-	(4.3)	-	-	-	-
USA, Massachusetts: White	0.0	(83.3)	-	(11.1)	-	-	-	-	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(5.6)</i>	-	-	-	-
USA, Massachusetts: Black	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(66.7)</i>	-	-	-	-	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(33.3)</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Michigan	0.0	(83.3)	-	(11.1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(5.6)
USA, Michigan: White	0.0	(83.3)	-	(16.7)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Michigan: Black	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(80.0)</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(20.0)</i>
USA, Michigan, Detroit	0.1	(88.2)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(11.8)</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*USA, Michigan, Detroit: White	0.1	(83.3)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(16.7)</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*USA, Michigan, Detroit: Black	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(100.0)</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Minnesota	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(57.1)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(28.6)</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(14.3)
USA, Mississippi	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(72.7)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(27.3)</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Missouri	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(66.7)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(33.3)</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Missouri: White	-	(33.3)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(66.7)</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Missouri: Black	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(100.0)</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Montana	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Nebraska	-	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Nebraska: White	-	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Nebraska: Black	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Nevada	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(100.0)</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Nevada: White	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Nevada: Black	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, New Hampshire	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(100.0)</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, New Jersey	0.1	(79.1)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(16.3)</i>	-	-	-	-	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(4.7)</i>	-	-	-	-
USA, New Jersey: White	0.1	(86.2)	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(13.8)</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, New Jersey: Black	<i>0.2</i>	<i>(63.6)</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(18.2)</i>	-	-	-	-	<i>0.1</i>	<i>(18.2)</i>	-	-	-	-
USA, New Mexico	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(75.0)</i>	-	(25.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*USA, New Mexico: White	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(66.7)</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(33.3)</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*USA, New Mexico: Hispanic White	-	-	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(100.0)</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*USA, New Mexico: Non-Hispanic White	<i>0.0</i>	<i>(100.0)</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics.

*Important. See note on population page.

**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)
Percentage distribution of the number of cases
Kaposi sarcoma (C46) - Female (contd)**

	C46.0		C46.1		C46.2		C46.3		C46.7		C46.8		C46.9	
	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)
North America (contd)														
USA, New York State	0.1	(83.2)	0.0	(9.2)	-	(0.8)	-	-	0.0	(6.7)	-	-	-	-
USA, New York State: White	0.1	(85.0)	0.0	(11.2)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(3.8)	-	-	-	-
USA, New York State: Black	0.2	(75.0)	-	(3.6)	0.0	(3.6)	-	-	0.0	(17.9)	-	-	-	-
USA, North Carolina	0.0	(69.2)	0.0	(15.4)	-	-	-	(7.7)	-	(7.7)	-	-	-	-
USA, North Carolina: White	0.0	(71.4)	0.0	(28.6)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, North Carolina: Black	0.1	(66.7)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(16.7)	0.0	(16.7)	-	-	-	-
USA, North Dakota	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Ohio	0.0	(56.2)	-	(31.2)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(12.5)
USA, Ohio: White	0.0	(53.8)	-	(38.5)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(7.7)
USA, Ohio: Black	0.0	(66.7)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(33.3)
USA, Oklahoma	0.0	(85.7)	-	(14.3)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Oklahoma: White	0.0	(83.3)	-	(16.7)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Oklahoma: Black	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Oregon	0.0	(80.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(20.0)	-	-	-	-
USA, Oregon: White	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Oregon: Black	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.4	(100.0)	-	-	-	-
USA, Pennsylvania	0.0	(86.7)	-	(6.7)	-	-	-	-	-	(6.7)	-	-	-	-
USA, Pennsylvania: White	0.0	(80.0)	-	(10.0)	-	-	-	-	-	(10.0)	-	-	-	-
USA, Pennsylvania: Black	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Rhode Island	0.1	(83.3)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(16.7)	-	-	-	-
USA, Rhode Island: White	0.0	(75.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(25.0)	-	-	-	-
USA, Rhode Island: Black	0.4	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, South Carolina	0.0	(55.6)	0.0	(33.3)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(11.1)	-	-	-	-
USA, South Carolina: White	-	(50.0)	-	(50.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, South Carolina: Black	0.1	(80.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(20.0)	-	-	-	-
USA, South Dakota	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Tennessee	0.0	(83.3)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(16.7)
USA, Tennessee: White	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Tennessee: Black	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(100.0)
USA, Texas	0.1	(85.1)	-	(9.0)	-	(1.5)	-	-	-	(4.5)	-	-	-	-
USA, Texas: White	0.0	(89.8)	-	(10.2)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Texas: Black	0.1	(70.6)	0.0	(5.9)	0.0	(5.9)	-	-	0.0	(17.6)	-	-	-	-
USA, Utah	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Vermont	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Virginia	0.0	(50.0)	-	(33.3)	-	-	-	(16.7)	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Virginia: White	-	(50.0)	-	(50.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Virginia: Black	0.0	(50.0)	0.0	(25.0)	-	-	0.0	(25.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Washington State	0.0	(88.9)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(11.1)	-	-	-	-
USA, Washington, Seattle	0.0	(87.5)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(12.5)	-	-	-	-
USA, West Virginia	0.0	(50.0)	0.0	(50.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Wisconsin	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Wisconsin: White	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Wisconsin: Black	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Wyoming	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Asia														
Bahrain: Bahraini	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Brunei Darussalam	0.2	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Anshan City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-
China, Beijing	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Benxi	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Cixian County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Guangzhou	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(50.0)	-	-	-	(50.0)
China, Guanyun	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Haimen County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Hangzhou City	-	(33.3)	0.0	(33.3)	-	-	-	(33.3)	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Harbin City, Nangang District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Hefei	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Hengdong	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Hong Kong	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(100.0)
*China, Huaiyin District, Huai'an	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Jiangmen	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Jianhu County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Jiashan County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Jiaxing City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Lianyungang	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(100.0)
China, Linzhou County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Liuzhou	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.2	(100.0)
China, Maanshan	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Qidong County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Shanghai City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(100.0)	-	-	-	-
China, Shenyang	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-
*China, Shexian County	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Sheyang	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Tongling City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Wuhan City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(100.0)
China, Wuxi	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Xianju	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Xiping	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Yanshi	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Yanting County	0.1	(40.0)	0.1	(20.0)	-	-	0.1	(20.0)	-	-	-	-	0.1	(20.0)
China, Yueyanglou	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Zhongshan City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Zhuhai	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics.

*Important. See note on population page.

**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)
Percentage distribution of the number of cases
Kaposi sarcoma (C46) - Female (contd)**

	C46.0		C46.1		C46.2		C46.3		C46.7		C46.8		C46.9	
	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)
Asia (contd)														
*India, Ahmedabad	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*India, Bangalore	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*India; Barshi, Paranda, and Bhum	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*India, Bhopal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-
*India, Cachar	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*India, Chennai	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*India, Dindigul, Ambilikkai	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*India, Kamrup Urban District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*India, Kollam	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*India, Mizoram	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*India, Mumbai	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*India, Poona	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*India, Sikkim State	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*India, Tripura	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*India, Trivandrum	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*India, Wardha	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Iran (Islamic Republic of), Golestan Province	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Israel	0.5	(95.0)	0.0	(2.2)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(2.8)	-	-	-	-
*Israel: Jews	0.5	(95.2)	0.0	(2.4)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(2.4)	-	-	-	-
*Israel: Non-Jews, Arab	0.4	(91.7)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(8.3)	-	-	-	-
*Israel: Non-Jews, Other	0.2	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Japan, Aichi Prefecture	-	(50.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(50.0)	-	-	-	-
Japan, Fukui Prefecture	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Japan, Hiroshima Prefecture	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Japan, Miyagi Prefecture	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Japan, Nagasaki Prefecture	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Japan, Niigata Prefecture	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Japan, Osaka Prefecture	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Japan, Tochigi Prefecture	-	-	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Japan, Yamagata Prefecture	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Jordan: Jordanians	0.1	(77.8)	0.0	(22.2)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Republic of Korea	0.0	(65.5)	0.0	(19.0)	-	-	-	(1.7)	-	(10.3)	-	-	-	(3.4)
Republic of Korea, Busan	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Republic of Korea, Daegu	0.0	(50.0)	0.0	(50.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Republic of Korea, Daejeon	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Republic of Korea, Gwangju	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Republic of Korea, Incheon	0.0	(75.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(25.0)	-	-	-	-
Republic of Korea, Jeju	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Republic of Korea, Seoul	0.0	(76.5)	0.0	(17.6)	-	-	-	-	-	(5.9)	-	-	-	-
Republic of Korea, Ulsan	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kuwait	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kuwait: Kuwaitis	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kuwait: Non-Kuwaitis	0.3	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Malaysia, Penang	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Malaysia, Penang: Chinese	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Malaysia, Penang: Malay	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Malaysia, Penang: Indian	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Philippines, Manila	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Philippines, Rizal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-
Qatar: Qatari	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Saudi Arabia, Riyadh: Saudi	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Thailand, Bangkok	-	(50.0)	-	(50.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Thailand, Chiang Mai	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-
Thailand, Chonburi	0.0	(25.0)	0.1	(75.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Thailand, Khon Kaen	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Thailand, Lampang	-	-	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Thailand, Lopburi Province	-	-	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Thailand, Songkhla	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Turkey, Antalya	0.5	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Turkey, Bursa	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Turkey, Edirne	0.2	(80.0)	0.1	(20.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Turkey, Erzurum	0.4	(83.3)	0.0	(16.7)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Turkey, Eskisehir	0.3	(90.0)	0.1	(10.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Turkey, Izmir	0.2	(85.2)	0.0	(14.8)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Turkey, Samsun	0.5	(91.7)	0.1	(8.3)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Turkey, Trabzon	0.5	(93.8)	0.0	(6.2)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Viet Nam, Ho Chi Minh City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-
Europe														
Austria	-	(33.3)	-	(33.3)	-	-	-	-	-	(33.3)	-	-	-	-
Austria, Carinthia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Austria, Tyrol	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Austria, Vorarlberg	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Belarus	0.0	(61.0)	0.0	(17.1)	-	(2.4)	-	(2.4)	-	(2.4)	-	-	0.0	(14.6)
*Belgium	0.1	(75.0)	0.0	(12.5)	-	-	-	(2.1)	0.0	(10.4)	-	-	-	-
Bulgaria	0.0	(66.7)	-	(5.6)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(27.8)
Croatia	0.0	(30.0)	0.0	(70.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cyprus	0.1	(75.0)	0.0	(25.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Czech Republic	0.0	(75.0)	-	(12.5)	-	-	-	-	-	(12.5)	-	-	-	-
Denmark	0.0	(50.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(50.0)	-	-	-	-
Estonia	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics.

*Important. See note on population page.

**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)
Percentage distribution of the number of cases
Kaposi sarcoma (C46) - Female (contd)**

	C46.0		C46.1		C46.2		C46.3		C46.7		C46.8		C46.9	
	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)
Europe (contd)														
*France, Bas-Rhin	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*France, Calvados	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*France, Doubs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*France, Gironde	0.0	(60.0)	0.0	(40.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*France, Haut-Rhin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*France, Hérault	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*France, Isère	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*France, Lille-Métropole	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-
*France, Limousin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-
*France, Loire-Atlantique	0.1	(80.0)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(20.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-
*France, Manche	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*France, Somme	-	-	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*France, Tarn	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*France, Territoire de Belfort	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*France, Vendée	0.0	(66.7)	0.0	(33.3)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Germany, Bavaria	0.0	(31.6)	0.0	(47.4)	-	-	-	(5.3)	-	(5.3)	-	-	-	(10.5)
Germany, Bremen	-	-	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Germany, Hamburg	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Germany, Lower Saxony	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Germany, Munich	-	-	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Germany, North Rhine-Westphalia	0.0	(77.6)	-	(8.2)	-	-	-	-	-	(4.1)	-	-	-	(10.2)
Germany, Rhineland-Palatinate	0.0	(50.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(50.0)
Germany, Saarland	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Germany, Schleswig-Holstein	-	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Iceland	0.2	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ireland	0.0	(83.3)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(16.7)	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Italy, Aosta Valley	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Italy, Barletta	0.3	(88.9)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.1	(11.1)	-	-	-	-
Italy, Bergamo	0.2	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Italy, Biella	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Italy, Caserta	0.5	(93.8)	0.1	(6.2)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Italy, Catania, Messina, and Enna	0.2	(90.3)	0.0	(9.7)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Italy, Como	0.2	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Italy, Cremona	0.5	(94.4)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.1	(5.6)	-	-	-	-
Italy, Ferrara	0.3	(85.7)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(7.1)	0.1	(7.1)	-	-	-	-
Italy, Florence and Prato	0.0	(28.6)	0.1	(71.4)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Italy, Friuli-Venezia Giulia	0.1	(50.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.1	(50.0)	-	-	-	-
Italy, Latina	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Italy, Lecco	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Italy, Lombardy, South, Pavia	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Italy, Mantua	0.1	(80.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0
Italy, Milan	0.2	(86.8)	0.0	(9.4)	-	(1.9)	-	-	0.0	(1.9)	-	-	-	-
Italy, Modena	0.2	(92.9)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0
Italy, Monza	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(7.1)
Italy, Naples	0.6	(85.0)	0.1	(10.0)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(5.0)	-	-	-	-
Italy, Nuoro	0.2	(83.3)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.1	(16.7)	-	-	-	-
Italy, Palermo	0.3	(83.3)	0.0	(16.7)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Italy, Parma	0.1	(60.0)	0.0	(20.0)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(20.0)	-	-	-	-
Italy, Piacenza	0.0	(50.0)	0.1	(50.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Italy, Ragusa and Caltanissetta	0.2	(80.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(20.0)	-	-	-	-
Italy, Reggio Emilia	0.2	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Italy, Romagna	0.2	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Italy, Sassari	0.3	(60.0)	0.2	(40.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Italy, Sondrio	0.1	(60.0)	0.1	(40.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Italy, South Tyrol	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Italy, Syracuse	0.0	(16.7)	0.2	(83.3)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Italy, Taranto	0.4	(88.9)	0.1	(11.1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Italy, Trento	0.2	(75.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(25.0)	-	-	-	-
Italy, Turin	0.1	(75.7)	0.0	(16.2)	-	-	0.0	(2.7)	0.0	(2.7)	-	-	-	(2.7)
Italy, Umbria	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Italy, Varese	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Italy, Veneto	0.1	(80.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(20.0)	-	-	-	-
Latvia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lithuania	0.0	(55.6)	0.0	(33.3)	0.0	(11.1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Malta	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*The Netherlands	-	(3.8)	0.0	(84.6)	-	(3.8)	-	-	-	(7.7)	-	-	-	-
Norway	0.0	(71.4)	-	(7.1)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(21.4)	-	-	-	-
Poland, Greater Poland	0.0	(25.0)	0.0	(25.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0
Poland, Kielce	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(50.0)
Poland, Lower Silesia	-	-	-	(50.0)	-	-	-	-	-	(50.0)	-	-	-	-
*Poland, Lublin	-	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Poland, Podkarpackie	0.0	(50.0)	0.0	(16.7)	-	-	0.0	(33.3)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Portugal, Azores	0.5	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Russian Federation, Arkhangelsk	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Russian Federation, Chelyabinsk	0.1	(81.0)	0.0	(19.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*Russian Federation, Karelia	-	-	0.0	(33.3)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(33.3)	-	-	-	0.0
Russian Federation, Samara	0.0	(75.0)	0.0	(12.5)	0.0	(12.5)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Slovakia	0.0	(75.0)	-	-	-	(25.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Slovenia	-	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics.

*Important. See note on population page.

**Age-standardized (world) incidence rates (per 100,000)
Percentage distribution of the number of cases
Kaposi sarcoma (C46) - Female (contd)**

	C46.0		C46.1		C46.2		C46.3		C46.7		C46.8		C46.9	
	Skin		Soft tissue		Palate		Lymph nodes		Other		Multiple		Unspecified	
	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)	ASR	(%)
Europe (contd)														
Spain, Albacete	0.3	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Spain, Asturias	0.2	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Spain, Basque Country	-	-	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Spain, Canary Islands	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Spain, Castellón	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Spain, Ciudad Real	0.4	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Spain, Cuenca	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Spain, Girona	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Spain, Granada	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Spain, La Rioja	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Spain, Mallorca	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Spain, Murcia	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Spain, Navarra	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Spain, Tarragona	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Switzerland, Fribourg	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Switzerland, Geneva	0.2	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Switzerland, Graubünden and Glarus	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.2	(100.0)	-	-	-	-
Switzerland, Neuchâtel	0.4	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Switzerland, St Gall–Appenzell	0.0	(50.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.1	(50.0)	-	-	-	-
Switzerland, Ticino	0.3	(80.0)	0.1	(20.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Switzerland, Valais	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Switzerland, Vaud	0.0	(16.7)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.2	(83.3)	-	-	-	-
Switzerland, Zurich	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ukraine	0.0	(45.7)	0.0	(41.4)	-	-	-	(2.9)	-	(8.6)	-	-	-	(1.4)
UK	0.0	(51.2)	0.0	(14.6)	-	(0.8)	0.0	(10.6)	0.0	(18.7)	-	-	-	(4.1)
UK, England	0.0	(47.8)	0.0	(15.7)	-	(0.9)	0.0	(11.3)	0.0	(20.0)	-	-	-	(4.3)
UK, England, East	0.0	(25.0)	0.0	(25.0)	-	-	0.0	(37.5)	0.0	(12.5)	-	-	-	-
UK, England, East Midlands	0.0	(33.3)	-	-	0.0	(16.7)	0.0	(33.3)	0.0	(16.7)	-	-	-	-
UK, England, London	0.1	(53.3)	0.0	(20.0)	-	-	0.0	(4.4)	0.0	(20.0)	-	-	-	(2.2)
UK, England, North East	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(100.0)	-	-	-	-
UK, England, North West	0.0	(11.1)	0.0	(55.6)	-	-	0.0	(22.2)	-	(11.1)	-	-	-	-
UK, England, South West	0.0	(50.0)	-	(10.0)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(30.0)	-	-	-	(10.0)
UK, England, South East	0.0	(58.8)	-	(5.9)	-	-	0.0	(17.6)	0.0	(17.6)	-	-	-	-
UK, England, West Midlands	0.0	(50.0)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(12.5)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(37.5)
UK, England, Yorkshire and The Humber	0.0	(63.6)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	(36.4)	-	-	-	-
UK, Northern Ireland	-	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UK, Scotland	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UK, Wales	-	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Oceania														
Australia	0.0	(37.5)	0.0	(57.8)	-	-	-	-	-	(4.7)	-	-	-	-
Australia, Australian Capital Territory	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Australia, New South Wales	0.0	(93.3)	-	(6.7)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Australia, Northern Territory	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Australia, Northern Territory: Non-Indigenous	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Australia, Northern Territory: Indigenous	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Australia, Queensland	0.0	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Australia, South Australia	0.0	(28.6)	0.0	(71.4)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Australia, Tasmania	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Australia, Victoria	-	-	0.1	(90.9)	-	-	-	-	0.0	(9.1)	-	-	-	-
Australia, Western Australia	0.0	(75.0)	-	(25.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*France, New Caledonia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
New Zealand	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*New Zealand: Maori	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*New Zealand: Pacific Islander	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA, Hawaii	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*USA, Hawaii: White	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*USA, Hawaii: Japanese	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*USA, Hawaii: Chinese	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*USA, Hawaii: Hawaiian	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*USA, Hawaii: Filipino	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*USA, Pacific Islands	-	-	0.1	(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Rates based on less than 10 cases are in italics.

*Important. See note on population page.